

© Copyright Statement

All rights reserved. All material in this document is, unless otherwise stated, the property of **FPC International, Inc.** Copyright and other intellectual property laws protect these materials. Reproduction or retransmission of the materials, in whole or in part, in any manner, without the prior written consent of the copyright holder, is a violation of copyright law.



Final Report

**Waste Management of Dallas Field Trial of
of FPC-1 Fuel Performance Catalyst**

**Prepared by UHI Corporation
Provo, Utah**

June 28, 1995

Abstract

A test of a unique fuel combustion catalyst conducted by Waste Management of Dallas verified reductions in fuel consumption and smoke emissions. The catalyst, known as FPC-1, was tested in a fleet of seven Mack powered waste disposal trucks. Fuel consumption reductions averaged 8.0%. Smoke emissions reductions averaged 22.5%.

The reduction in fuel consumption equates to a nearly \$0.05 per gallon of fuel net savings, based upon fuel cost information provided to the author. Therefore, annual fuel cost reduction potential to Waste Management resulting from the use of FPC-1 nationwide could reach several million dollars.

Further, a high profile company like Waste Management will benefit substantially from cleaner exhausts. A voluntary program to reduce obnoxious smoke and diesel odor from trucks operating in urban and residential areas, through the use of FPC-1, would further enhance Waste Management's public image, and relieve pressure from political, regulatory, and special interest groups.

I. Introduction

FPC-1 Fuel Performance Catalyst is a burn rate modifier or catalyst proven to reduce fuel consumption and increase engine horsepower in several recognized, independent laboratory tests, including Southwest Research Institute, and dozens of independent field trials. The catalyst also has a positive impact upon the products of incomplete combustion, primarily soot (smoke).

The intent of the current trial at Waste Management of Dallas is to determine the degree of fuel consumption, and smoke reduction resulting from the addition of the FPC-1 catalyst to the # 2 diesel fuelling a select fleet of waste disposal trucks. The test methodology for determining fuel consumption is the carbon mass balance (cmb). The cmb method measures the carbon containing products of the combustion process (CO₂, CO, HC) found in the exhaust, rather than directly measuring fuel flow into the engine. The method also makes it convenient to determine the effect of FPC-1 upon smoke density by applying the Bacharach Smokespot method.

This report summarizes the test data and the results of the baseline fuel to FPC-1 treated fuel comparison.

II. Discussion of Carbon Mass Balance Method

The carbon mass balance eliminates virtually all of the variables associated with field testing for fuel consumption changes. The method requires no modifications to fuel lines or engines, and can be conducted in a short period of time at minimal expense.

Instead of measuring fuel flow into the engine (ie., the weight or volume of the fuel), measurements are made of the exhaust gases leaving the engine. More precisely, the carbon containing gases in the exhaust are measured. The method is based upon the Law of Conservation of Matter, which states that atoms can neither be created nor destroyed. Since the engines only source of carbon is the fuel it consumes, the carbon measured in the exhaust must come from the fuel. By measuring the carbon going out of the engine in the form of products of combustion, the amount of carbon entering the engine can be determined.

Additionally, the measurement of smoke density in the exhaust on a relative basis can be done simultaneously with the cmb.

Carbon Balance Calculation

The carbon leaving the engine is mainly in the form of carbon dioxide (CO₂), carbon monoxide (CO), unburned hydrocarbons (HC), and particulate (smoke). By collecting these data while the engine is operating at a given load and speed, the fuel flow rate into the engine can be accurately determined. When engine load and speed, along with other factors influencing fuel consumption are reproduced and/or monitored to make appropriate corrections, the carbon balance can be used to confidently determine changes in fuel consumption that might result from the use of a fuel catalyst, such as FPC-1.

With the carbon balance, engine efficiency is expressed in terms of engine performance factors

(mass flow rates). To calculate any change in engine performance, separate measurements are made with the engine running on base fuel (untreated) and FPC-1 treated fuel. Any changes are stated as percentage changes from baseline.

A copy of the carbon balance equations is found on Figure 1 (Appendix 1). A sample calculation for illustration purposes is also attached (see Figure 2, Appendix 1). The changes in fuel consumption for each engine tested are summarized on Table 1, Appendix 2. The Excel Pro calculated computer printouts for each truck are found in Appendix 3.

Additionally, the carbon balance can be used to determine the effect of FPC-1 upon harmful emissions, such as smoke.

Bacharach Smokespot Method

Smoke is a product of incomplete combustion, and as such, is a measure of engine efficiency. Smoke is simply unburned fuel droplets not consumed during the final phase or tail of combustion when temperatures and pressures are significantly lower than ideal, and much of the oxygen in the chamber has been expended. FPC-1 continues to function throughout the entire combustion cycle, improving the oxidation of these fuel droplets, thereby extracting more useful energy while reducing smoke emissions.

Smoke density was determined using the Bacharach Truespot Smokemeter and filter paper. The Bacharach smokespot meter draws a specific volume of exhaust gases through a 5 micron filter medium. The particulate or soot (smoke) in the exhaust sample collects on the surface of the medium, and darkens it. The greater the concentration or density of the smoke in the exhaust sample, the darker the spot that forms on the surface of the paper. This smoke spot is compared to a smoke scale and assigned a smoke density number. The Bacharach scale ranges from 0 to 9, with 0 being a pure white spot, and 9 almost completely black.

The smoke samples were taken while each engine was run at a fixed rpm and at high idle. The smoke numbers can be used to determine the relative change in smoke density created by the addition of FPC-1 to the fuel. The smoke density data are found on Table 2, in Appendix 4.

III. Instrumentation

Precision, state-of-the-art instrumentation is used to measure the concentrations of carbon containing gases in the exhaust stream and other factors related to fuel consumption and engine performance. The instruments and their purposes are listed below:

- 1) A Sun Electric SGA-9000 non-dispersive infrared (NDIR) four gas analyzer - measures the volume percent of CO₂, CO, and oxygen (O₂) in the exhaust, and the parts per million (ppm) of HC.

- 2) EPA I/M Calibration Gases - known gases used to internally calibrate the NDIR analyzer.

3) A twenty (20) foot sampling train and stainless steel exhaust gas probe - inserted into the engine exhaust pipe draws a sample of exhaust gases to the analyzer.

4) A Fluke Model 52 hand held digital thermometer and wet/dry thermocouple probe - measures exhaust, ambient, and fuel temperature.

5) A Dwyer Magnehelic 2000 Series Pressure Gauge and pitot tube - measures exhaust air velocity and/or pressure.

6) A Monarch Contact/Noncontact digital tachometer and magnetic tape - measures engine rpm when dash mounted tachometers are unavailable.

7) A hydrometer and flask - determines fuel specific gravity (density).

8) Barometric pressure is acquired from local airport or weather station.

9) A Bacharach Truespot Smokemeter - for smoke density determination.

With the exception of engine speed, fuel density, and ambient readings, all data are collected by simply inserting probes into the exhaust stream while the engine is running at a fixed rpm and load, and the vehicle is stationary. No modifications or device installation are made to the fuel system, nor are normal equipment work cycles disrupted.

Between the baseline test and the treated test, the test fleet was operated on FPC-1 fuel treatment approximately 500 hours to ensure complete engine conditioning.

IV. Technical Approach

The following technical approach was observed during the baseline and treated tests:

1) All instruments calibrated according to accepted protocol. The SGA-9000 NDIR analyzer was calibrated with the same bottle of BAR 90 calibration gases.

2) A sample of fuel is drawn from the fuel tank on each piece of equipment. Using a hydrometer, fuel density (specific gravity) was determined and recorded.

3) Each piece of equipment to be tested was parked, brakes locked, and run out-of-gear at a specific engine speed (RPM) until engine water and exhaust temperature, and exhaust pressure and exhaust gas concentrations stabilized. Engine speed was controlled using either a hand held phototach or the tachometer in the cab, and on Snap On throttle locking device..

4) Engine hours (or mileage) were taken from meters installed on the trucks.

5) After engine stabilization, the Autocal button on the SGA-9000 was depressed and after the LED readouts clear, test personnel took multiple readings of carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, unburned hydrocarbons, and oxygen, along with engine speed, exhaust temperature and pressure.

6) Periodically, ambient air temperature, atmospheric pressure, and relative humidity are recorded. Temperature readings are taken at the test site. Other ambient readings are acquired from local weather information services (see Appendix 5).

7) All data are recorded until technicians are confident the information is consistent and reproducible.

8) After completing the baseline, all test fleet fuel was treated with FPC-1. All equipment operated as normal for approximately 500 hours, at which time the above procedure was reproduced without alteration, except for FPC-1 fuel treatment in the test fleet.

*The first treatment of FPC-1 was done by UHI personnel after the baseline test. Treatment of additional fuel delivered to the site during the test was carried out by Waste Management personnel.

The data relative to the rate of fuel consumption was used by UHI and Waste Management engineers to calculate the percent change in fuel consumption before and after FPC-1 fuel treatment. Smoke density changes were determined in a similar manner.

V. Baseline Data and Calculations

The data collected during the baseline and treated fuel carbon balance tests are summarized on the attached computer printouts (Appendix 3). From these data the volume fraction (VF) of each gas is determined and the average molecular weight (Mwt) of the exhaust gases computed. Next, the engine performance factor (pf) based upon the carbon mass in the exhaust is computed. The pf is finally corrected for intake air temperature and pressure (barometric), and total exhaust mass yielding a corrected engine performance factor (PF). The baseline and treated fuel PFs are tabulated on Table 1 of Appendix 2. Baseline smoke spot (smoke density) numbers are found on Table 2 of Appendix 4.

203, 204 and 221

Units 203, 204 and 221 were originally included in the test fleet but could not be tested during the treated portion of the test procedure. The dash mounted electronic tachometers were not working during the treated test, nor could a mechanical tachometer be mounted on the engine. Since engine speed (rpm) could not reproduced for these units, they were not tested a second time when treated with FPC-1.

Unit 221 was tested with FPC-1 treated fuel, however, the data indicated the engine was

experiencing much larger changes in fuel consumption than observed in previous laboratory and field tests with FPC-1 treated fuel. When the reason for the dramatic change was investigated, it was discovered that a new injection pump had been installed on Unit 221. The large change in fuel consumption was certainly influenced by the pump replacement, and therefore, Unit 221 was removed from the test sample.

VI. Conclusions

- (1) Fuel consumption was reduced 8.0% for the Mack test fleet after FPC-1 fuel treatment and engine conditioning.
- (2) Smoke density in the exhaust gases from the Mack test fleet was reduced 22.5% after FPC-1 fuel treatment and engine conditioning.
- (3) Although not quantifiable, diesel odor was less noticeable after FPC-1 fuel treatment.

APPENDIX 1

Figure 2.

SAMPLE CALCULATION FOR THE CARBON MASS BALANCE

BASELINE:

Equation 1 (Volume Fractions)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{VFHC} &= 13.20/1,000,000 \\ &= 0.0000132 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{VFCO} &= 0.017/100 \\ &= 0.00017 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{VFCO}_2 &= 1.937/100 \\ &= 0.01937 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{VFO}_2 &= 17.10/100 \\ &= 0.171 \end{aligned}$$

Equation 2 (Molecular Weight)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mwt1} &= (0.0000132)(86) + (0.00017)(28) + (0.01937)(44) + (0.171)(32) \\ &\quad + [(1-0.0000132-0.00017-0.01937-0.171)(28)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Mwt1} = 28.995$$

Equation 3 (Calculated Performance Factor)

$$\text{pf1} = \frac{3099.6 \times 28.995}{86(0.0000132) + 13.89(0.00017) + 13.89(0.01937)}$$

$$\text{pf1} = 329,809$$

Equation 4 (CFM Calculations)

$$\text{CFM} = \frac{(d/2)^2 \pi}{144} \left(1096.2 \sqrt{\frac{P_v}{1.325(P_B/ET + 460)}} \right)$$

d = Exhaust stack diameter in inches
P_v = Velocity pressure in inches of H₂O
P_B = Barometric pressure in inches of mercury
T_e = Exhaust temperature °F

$$\text{CFM} = \frac{(10/2)^2 \pi}{144} \left(1096.2 \sqrt{\frac{.80}{1.325(30.00/313.100 + 460)}} \right)$$

$$\text{CFM} = 2358.37$$

Equation 5 (Corrected Performance Factor)

$$\text{PF1} = \frac{329,809(313.1 \text{ deg F} + 460)}{2358.37 \text{ CFM}}$$

$$\text{PF1} = 108,115$$

TREATED:

Equation 1 (Volume Fractions)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{VFHC} &= 14.6/1,000,000 \\ &= 0.0000146 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{VFCO} &= .013/100 \\ &= 0.00013 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{VFCO}_2 &= 1.826/100 \\ &= 0.01826 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{VFO}_2 &= 17.17/100 \\ &= 0.1717 \end{aligned}$$

Equation 2 (Molecular Weight)

$$\text{Mwt2} = (0.0000146)(86) + (0.00013)(28) + (0.01826)(44) + (0.1717)(32) + [(1-0.0000146-0.00013-0.01826-0.1717)(28)]$$

$$\text{Mwt2} = 28.980$$

Equation 3 (Calculated Performance Factor)

$$\text{pf2} = \frac{3099.6 \times 28.980}{86(0.0000146) + 13.89(0.00013) + 13.89(0.01826)}$$

$$\text{pf2} = 349,927$$

Equation 4 (CFM Calculations)

$$\text{CFM} = \frac{(d/2)^2 \pi}{144} \left(1096.2 \sqrt{\frac{P_v}{1.325(P_B/ET + 460)}} \right)$$

d = Exhaust stack diameter in inches

P_v = Velocity pressure in inches of H₂O

P_B = Barometric pressure in inches of mercury

Te = Exhaust temperature °F

$$\text{CFM} = \frac{(10/2)^2 \pi}{144} \left(1096.2 \sqrt{\frac{.775}{1.325(29.86/309.02 + 460)}} \right)$$

$$\text{CFM} = 2320.51$$

Equation 5 (Corrected Performance Factor)

$$\text{PF2} = \frac{349,927(309.02 \text{ deg F} + 460)}{2320.51 \text{ CFM}}$$

$$= 115,966$$

Fuel Specific Gravity Correction Factor

Baseline Fuel Specific Gravity - Treated Fuel Specific Gravity/Baseline Fuel Specific Gravity +1

$$.840-.837/.840+1=1.0036$$

$$PF2 = 115,966 \times \text{Specific Gravity Correction}$$

$$PF2 = 115,966 \times 1.0036$$

$$PF2 = 116,384$$

Equation 6 (Percent Change in Engine Performance Factor:)

$$\% \text{ Change PF} = \frac{PF2 - PF1}{PF1} \times 100$$

$$\% \text{ Change PF} = [(116,384 - 108,115)/108,115](100)$$

$$= +7.65$$

Note: A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.

APPENDIX 2

Table 1: Baseline and Treated Fuel Engine Performance Factors (PF)

| <u>No.</u> | <u>Baseline PF</u> | <u>Treated PF</u> | <u>%Chg</u> |
|------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| 205 | 328,099 | 345,577 | 5.33 |
| 215 | 329,103 | 361,601 | 9.69 |
| 248 | 379,573 | 421,766 | 10.12 |
| 264 | 371,079 | 407,938 | 9.93 |
| 418 | 471,345 | 513,098 | 8.71 |
| 420 | 592,434 | 611,403 | 3.20 |
| 463 | 759,223 | 828,826 | 9.17 |

* A positive change in PF equates to a reduction in fuel consumption.

Table 2: Baseline and Treated Fuel Smoke Spot Numbers (Exhaust Smoke Density)

| <u>Unit No.</u> | <u>Baseline Smoke No.</u> | <u>Treated Smoke No.</u> | <u>%Chg</u> |
|-----------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| 205 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 14.0 |
| 215 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 00.0 |
| 248 | 6.0 | 4.5 | 25.0 |
| 264 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 18.0 |
| 418 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 10.0 |
| 420 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 50.0 |
| 463 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 40.0 |

APPENDIX 3

APPENDIX 4

Item: 2 Code: CTX

| 11AM Tue 25 April | | TEXAS this hour | | | | | | TODAY'S DATA | | |
|-------------------|------------|-----------------|----------|-------|-----|-----|--------|--------------|-----|------|
| TOWN | WEATHER | TEMP | WIND | FLSLK | VIS | HUM | BRMTR | HI | LOW | PCPN |
| Dalhart | clear | 61 | SW 21 | 48 | 15 | 39% | 29.94f | 61 | 37 | |
| Amarillo | no report | 62 | SW 29G37 | 47 | 10 | 40% | 29.98f | 62 | 39 | |
| Childress | clear | 69 | S 23 | 59 | 25 | 35% | 30.02f | 69 | 42 | |
| Lubbock Intl | clear | 66 | S 21 | 55 | 10 | 33% | 30.03f | 66 | 43 | |
| Reese AFB | clear | 69 | S 20G33 | 60 | 10 | 28% | 30.01f | 69 | 48 | |
| El Paso | clear | 72 | S 9 | 68 | 50 | 22% | 29.99f | 72 | 46 | |
| Guadalupe Ps | dry | 64 | SW 10G15 | 58 | | 28% | 29.98s | 64 | 51 | |
| Wink | clear | 69 | SE 15 | 61 | 20 | 28% | 29.99f | 69 | 49 | |
| Midland | clear | 69 | S 20 | 60 | 20 | 32% | 30.02f | 69 | 45 | |
| San Angelo | clear | 72 | S 15 | 65 | 25 | 29% | 30.06f | 72 | 46 | |
| Marfa | no report | 65 | S 16 | 55 | 10 | 28% | 30.07s | 65 | 37 | |
| Sanderson | no report | 66 | SE 6G10 | 64 | | 34% | | 66 | 51 | |
| Wichita Falls | ptly cldy | 69 | S 20G28 | 60 | 10 | 39% | 30.05f | 69 | 8 | |
| Sherman | clear | 66 | S 5G11 | 65 | 15 | 45% | 30.09f | | | |
| Dyess AFB | clear | 70 | S 17G24 | 62 | 15 | 38% | 30.05f | 70 | 47 | |
| Abilene AP | clear | 69 | S 24 | 58 | 30 | 26% | 30.05f | 69 | 48 | |
| Mineral Wells | clear | 70 | SW 8 | 66 | 20 | 34% | 30.10f | 70 | 45 | |
| Stephenville | | | | | | | | | | |
| Carswell AFB | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fort Worth AP | clear | 69 | S 9 | 67 | 15 | 49% | 30.13s | 69 | 44 | |
| Dallas FtWrth | clear | 69 | S 11 | 65 | 15 | 46% | 30.11f | 69 | 47 | |
| East Addition | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dallas Love | clear | 70 | S 9 | 66 | 20 | 41% | 30.12f | 70 | 50 | |
| Dallas Adsn | clear | 68 | S 9 | 63 | 13 | 42% | 30.11f | 68 | 51 | |
| Dallas Hensly | ptly cldy | 69 | S 9 | 65 | 12 | 42% | 30.10f | 69 | 48 | |
| Greenville | clear | 70 | S 11 | 67 | 15 | 47% | 30.13s | | | |
| Mt Pleasant | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tyler | clear | 64 | SW 7 | 65 | 20 | 68% | 30.15r | 64 | 48 | |
| Longview | clear | 67 | S 9 | 62 | 35 | 39% | 30.15s | 67 | 42 | |
| Waco | no report | 65 | S 10 | 61 | 10 | 54% | 30.14f | 65 | 44 | |
| Killeen Gray | clear | 69 | SE 10 | 66 | 14 | 47% | 30.12f | 69 | 49 | |
| Ft. Hood | clear | 70 | SE 13G17 | 65 | 7 | 42% | 30.13f | 70 | 49 | |
| Temple | no report | 67 | S 11 | 62 | 10 | 49% | 30.10f | 67 | 44 | |
| Lufkin | clear | 69 | SE 8 | 65 | 15 | 42% | 30.16f | 69 | 44 | |
| Junction | dry | 73 | SE 9G16 | 69 | | 23% | 30.11f | 73 | 46 | |
| Round Mtn | ptly cldy | 69 | E 11 | 63 | 7 | 39% | 30.13r | | | |
| Austin AP | clear | 68 | SE 9 | 65 | 15 | 49% | 30.14f | 68 | 47 | |
| Bergstrom AFB | ptly cldy | 68 | SE 5 | 69 | 10 | 49% | 30.11f | 68 | 45 | |
| College Stn | clear | 70 | S 8 | 70 | 10 | 53% | 30.13f | 70 | 48 | |
| Del Rio Intl | dry | 72 | SE 15G22 | 65 | 7 | 33% | 30.07f | 72 | 54 | |
| Laughlin AFB | clear | 74 | SE 14 | 68 | 20 | 33% | 30.08f | 74 | 54 | |
| Hondo | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kelly AFB | ptly cldy | 72 | SE 9 | 68 | 7 | 38% | 30.09f | 72 | 46 | |
| Sn Antnio Int | ptly cldy | 72 | SE 6 | 70 | 10 | 35% | 30.12f | 72 | 46 | |
| Randolph AFB | ptly cldy | 71 | SE 13 | 65 | 7 | 37% | 30.12f | 71 | 42 | |
| Cotulla | ptly cldy | 69 | SE 13 | 64 | 15 | 47% | 30.13f | 69 | 55 | |
| Laredo | mstly cldy | 68 | SE 17 | 62 | 15 | 51% | 30.13s | 68 | 56 | |
| Mc Allen | cloudy | 71 | E 8 | 76 | 10 | 57% | 30.13s | 71 | 58 | |
| Harlingen | cloudy | 73 | E 11 | 79 | 15 | 55% | 30.13r | 73 | 59 | |
| Brownsville | mstly cldy | 71 | E 13 | 76 | 10 | 55% | 30.11r | 72 | 59 | |
| Kingsville | cloudy | 69 | E 9 | 70 | 7 | 63% | 30.10f | 69 | 53 | |
| Alice | mstly cldy | 71 | E 20 | 74 | 15 | 49% | 30.14s | 71 | 51 | |
| CrpusCsty Int | cloudy | 69 | E 13 | 67 | 10 | 59% | 30.13r | 70 | 59 | |
| Crpus Csty NS | mstly cldy | 69 | E 10 | 70 | 11 | 63% | 30.13s | 69 | 62 | |
| Rockport | cloudy | 70 | E 7 | 75 | 7 | 68% | 30.14r | | | |

Item: 2 Code: CTX

12PM Tue 25 April

TEXAS this hour

TODAY'S DATA

| TOWN | WEATHER | TEMP | WIND | FLSLK | VIS | HUM | BRMTR | HI | LOW | PCPN |
|---------------|------------|------|----------|-------|-----|-----|--------|----|-----|------|
| Dalhart | clear | 67 | SW 16 | 58 | 15 | 25% | 29.92f | 67 | 37 | |
| Amarillo | no report | 71 | SW 25G34 | 61 | 10 | 27% | 29.93f | 71 | 39 | |
| Childress | clear | 72 | S 23G33 | 63 | 25 | 31% | 29.99f | 72 | 42 | |
| Lubbock Intl | clear | 70 | S 21G30 | 61 | 10 | 28% | 30.00f | 70 | 43 | |
| Reese AFB | clear | 69 | S 20G33 | 60 | 10 | 28% | 30.01f | 69 | 48 | |
| El Paso | clear | 76 | SW 7 | 74 | 50 | 20% | 29.96f | 77 | 46 | |
| Guadalupe Ps | dry | 67 | SW 14G26 | 59 | | 25% | 29.96f | 67 | 51 | |
| Wink | clear | 75 | SE 14 | 70 | 20 | 22% | 29.96f | 75 | 49 | |
| Midland | clear | 73 | S 20G25 | 65 | 20 | 26% | 29.99f | 73 | 44 | |
| San Angelo | clear | 75 | S 17 | 69 | 25 | 23% | 30.03f | 75 | 45 | |
| Marfa | no report | 67 | S 16G22 | 58 | 10 | 26% | 30.06f | 67 | 37 | |
| Sanderson | no report | 70 | SE 6G13 | 68 | | 31% | | 70 | 51 | |
| Wichita Falls | ptly cldy | 70 | S 18 | 62 | 10 | 36% | 30.03f | 71 | 8 | |
| Sherman | clear | 66 | S 5G11 | 65 | 15 | 45% | 30.09f | | | |
| Dyess AFB | clear | 74 | S 18G29 | 67 | 15 | 26% | 30.03f | 74 | 47 | |
| Abilene AP | clear | 71 | S 20G34 | 62 | 30 | 24% | 30.02f | 71 | 47 | |
| Mineral Wells | clear | 73 | S 14 | 67 | 20 | 33% | 30.06f | 73 | 45 | |
| Stephenville | | | | | | | | | | |
| Carswell AFB | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fort Worth AP | clear | 70 | SE 16 | 64 | 15 | 44% | 30.09f | 70 | 44 | |
| Dallas FtWrth | clear | 70 | S 15 | 64 | 15 | 42% | 30.09f | 70 | 47 | |
| East Addition | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dallas Love | clear | 72 | S 14 | 73 | 20 | 41% | 30.10f | 72 | 50 | |
| Dallas Adsn | clear | 68 | S 9 | 63 | 13 | 42% | 30.11f | 68 | 51 | |
| Dallas Hensly | clear | 70 | S 8 | 68 | 12 | 44% | 30.08f | 71 | 48 | |
| Greenville | clear | 70 | S 11 | 67 | 15 | 47% | 30.13s | | | |
| Mt Pleasant | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tyler | clear | 64 | SW 7 | 65 | 20 | 68% | 30.15r | 64 | 48 | |
| Longview | clear | 67 | S 9 | 62 | 35 | 39% | 30.15s | 67 | 42 | |
| Waco | no report | 69 | SE 9 | 66 | 10 | 47% | 30.10f | 69 | 44 | |
| Killeen Gray | clear | 71 | S 11 | 73 | 14 | 44% | 30.10f | 71 | 49 | |
| Ft. Hood | clear | 70 | SE 13G17 | 65 | 7 | 42% | 30.13f | 70 | 49 | |
| Temple | no report | 67 | S 7 | 65 | 10 | 47% | 30.09f | 67 | 44 | |
| Lufkin | clear | 70 | CALM | 70 | 15 | 39% | 30.13f | 70 | 44 | |
| Junction | dry | 76 | SE 11G21 | 72 | | 19% | 30.09f | 76 | 46 | |
| Round Mtn | ptly cldy | 69 | E 11 | 63 | 7 | 39% | 30.13r | | | |
| Austin AP | clear | 71 | SE 7 | 74 | 15 | 48% | 30.10f | 71 | 47 | |
| Bergstrom AFB | clear | 70 | SE 3 | 72 | 10 | 47% | 30.08f | 70 | 45 | |
| College Stn | clear | 72 | SE 8 | 76 | 10 | 51% | 30.11f | 72 | 48 | |
| Del Rio Intl | dry | 73 | SE 15G22 | 67 | 6 | 30% | 30.05f | 73 | 54 | |
| Laughlin AFB | clear | 76 | SE 14G25 | 71 | 20 | 29% | 30.06f | 76 | 54 | |
| Hondo | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kelly AFB | ptly cldy | 72 | SE 9 | 68 | 7 | 38% | 30.09f | 72 | 46 | |
| Sn Antnio Int | clear | 75 | SE 9 | 72 | 10 | 33% | 30.10f | 75 | 45 | |
| Randolph AFB | ptly cldy | 73 | S 11 | 68 | 7 | 37% | 30.10f | 73 | 42 | |
| Cotulla | ptly cldy | 72 | S 11G17 | 74 | 15 | 43% | 30.10f | 72 | 54 | |
| Laredo | mstly cldy | 68 | SE 17 | 62 | 15 | 51% | 30.13s | 68 | 56 | |
| Mc Allen | cloudy | 73 | E 11 | 78 | 10 | 53% | 30.10f | 73 | 58 | |
| Harlingen | cloudy | 73 | E 11 | 79 | 15 | 55% | 30.13r | 73 | 59 | |
| Brownsville | mstly cldy | 71 | E 10 | 77 | 10 | 59% | 30.09f | 72 | 59 | |
| Kingsville | cloudy | 69 | E 9 | 70 | 7 | 63% | 30.10f | 69 | 53 | |
| Alice | mstly cldy | 71 | E 20 | 74 | 15 | 49% | 30.14s | 71 | 51 | |
| CrpusCsty Int | cloudy | 69 | E 13 | 67 | 10 | 59% | 30.13r | 70 | 59 | |
| Crpus Csty NS | mstly cldy | 69 | E 9 | 71 | 11 | 66% | 30.10f | 69 | 62 | |
| Rockport | cloudy | 70 | E 7 | 75 | 7 | 68% | 30.14r | | | |

Item: 2 Code: CTX

12PM Wed 26 April

TEXAS this hour

TODAY'S DATA

| TOWN | WEATHER | TEMP | WIND | FLSLK | VIS | HUM | BRMTR | HI | LOW | PCPN |
|---------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-----|-----|--------|----|-----|------|
| Dalhart | ptly cldy | 51 N | 33G41 | 29 | 15 | 48% | 30.01r | 51 | 37 | |
| Amarillo | cloudy | 49 N | 25 | 29 | 10 | 59% | 29.98r | 60 | 47 | |
| Childress | clear | 67 N | 28G37 | 55 | 15 | 44% | 29.87r | 71 | 54 | |
| Lubbock Intl | clear | 69 N | 25G36 | 58 | 15 | 34% | 29.91r | 69 | 54 | |
| Reese AFB | ptly cldy | 72 N | 22G31 | 63 | 10 | 29% | 29.90r | 72 | 53 | |
| El Paso | ptly cldy | 78 W | 15G25 | 73 | 50 | 9% | 29.96s | 79 | 60 | |
| Guadalupe Ps | dry | 70 W | 18G26 | 62 | | 12% | 29.94f | 70 | 52 | |
| Wink | clear | 83 NW | 11 | 81 | 20 | 7% | 29.85f | 83 | 52 | |
| Midland | clear | 84 NW | 16G25 | 81 | 20 | 13% | 29.84f | 85 | 55 | |
| San Angelo | clear | 81 SW | 21G33 | 85 | 25 | 37% | 29.85f | 81 | 57 | |
| Marfa | no report | 77 W | 20G33 | 71 | 10 | 10% | 29.99f | 77 | 39 | |
| Sanderson | no report | 79 S | 8G16 | 77 | | 29% | | 79 | 49 | |
| Wichita Falls | ptly cldy | 76 S | 22G29 | 81 | 10 | 48% | 29.77s | 76 | 56 | |
| Sherman | ptly cldy | 71 S | 17G23 | 77 | 12 | 61% | 29.85s | 71 | 56 | |
| Dyess AFB | clear | 80 SW | 21G34 | 83 | 15 | 37% | 29.81r | 80 | 58 | |
| Abilene AP | clear | 76 SW | 26G38 | 79 | 25 | 42% | 29.81s | 76 | 56 | 0.10 |
| Mineral Wells | clear | 76 SW | 17G31 | 80 | 20 | 45% | 29.83f | 76 | 52 | |
| Stephenville | | | | | | | | | | |
| Carswell AFB | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fort Worth AP | mstly cldy | 71 S | 16G26 | 78 | 10 | 64% | 29.86f | 71 | 57 | |
| Dallas FtWrth | ptly cldy | 71 S | 17 | 78 | 12 | 64% | 29.86f | 71 | 56 | |
| East Addition | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dallas Love | mstly cldy | 73 S | 17G26 | 81 | 12 | 62% | 29.88f | 73 | 58 | |
| Dallas Adsn | mstly cldy | 72 S | 17G29 | 78 | 13 | 57% | 29.87f | 72 | 56 | |
| Dallas Hensly | mstly cldy | 70 S | 25 | 66 | 7 | 64% | 29.87f | 70 | 56 | |
| Greenville | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mt Pleasant | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tyler | ptly cldy | 74 S | 17 | 83 | 15 | 64% | 29.92f | 74 | 53 | |
| Longview | clear | 74 S | 11 | 79 | 25 | 50% | 29.95f | 74 | 54 | |
| Waco | mstly cldy | 73 S | 20 | 80 | 10 | 59% | 29.89f | 74 | 56 | |
| Killeen Gray | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ft. Hood | ptly cldy | 75 S | 18G25 | 81 | 7 | 54% | 29.91f | 75 | 57 | |
| Temple | ptly cldy | 73 S | 22G26 | 79 | 10 | 57% | 29.87f | 73 | 54 | |
| Lufkin | ptly cldy | 76 S | 15 | 83 | 15 | 54% | 29.97f | 76 | 49 | |
| Junction | dry | 78 SW | 6G23 | 81 | | 39% | 29.93f | 78 | 55 | |
| Round Mtn | ptly cldy | 74 E | 11 | 78 | 7 | 46% | 30.10r | | | |
| Austin AP | ptly cldy | 75 S | 15G24 | 82 | 12 | 56% | 29.92f | 75 | 55 | |
| Bergstrom AFB | mstly cldy | 77 S | 15G21 | 85 | 10 | 56% | 29.90f | 77 | 55 | |
| College Stn | mstly cldy | 78 S | 16G26 | 90 | 10 | 64% | 29.95f | 78 | 54 | |
| Del Rio Intl | dry | 80 SE | 13G20 | 85 | 6 | 41% | 29.88f | 80 | 59 | |
| Laughlin AFB | ptly cldy | 81 SE | 14 | 85 | 20 | 38% | 29.89f | 81 | 59 | |
| Hondo | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kelly AFB | ptly cldy | 77 S | 11G22 | 83 | 7 | 50% | 29.90f | 77 | 56 | |
| Sn Antnio Int | ptly cldy | 78 S | 13 | 84 | 7 | 49% | 29.94f | 78 | 57 | |
| Randolph AFB | ptly cldy | 77 S | 14 | 83 | 7 | 48% | 29.93f | 77 | 53 | |
| Cotulla | mstly cldy | 79 SE | 8G17 | 87 | 15 | 50% | 29.91f | 79 | 56 | |
| Laredo | ptly cldy | 79 SE | 21 | 85 | 15 | 47% | 29.90f | 79 | 61 | |
| Mc Allen | mstly cldy | 83 E | 11 | 100 | 10 | 70% | 29.92f | 83 | 62 | |
| Harlingen | mstly cldy | 81 SE | 11 | 90 | 12 | 51% | 29.92f | 81 | 58 | |
| Brownsville | ptly cldy | 81 S | 14G21 | 89 | 10 | 49% | 29.91f | 82 | 60 | |
| Kingsville | mstly cldy | 78 SE | 10 | 88 | 7 | 60% | 29.89f | 78 | 54 | |
| Alice | mstly cldy | 81 S | 14 | 86 | 15 | 41% | 29.93f | 81 | 52 | |
| CrpusCsty Int | mstly cldy | 79 SE | 17 | 88 | 10 | 56% | 29.92f | 80 | 60 | |
| Crpus Csty NS | ptly cldy | 78 SE | 14 | 90 | 11 | 67% | 29.94s | 78 | 63 | |
| Rockport | mstly cldy | 78 S | 21 | 91 | 7 | 69% | 29.97f | | | |

Item: 2 Code: CTX

| 12PM Wed 28 June | | TEXAS this hour | | | | | | TODAY'S DATA | | |
|------------------|------------|-----------------|----------|-------|-----|-----|--------|--------------|-----|------|
| TOWN | WEATHER | TEMP | WIND | FLSLK | VIS | HUM | BRMTR | HI | LOW | PCPN |
| Dalhart | ptly cldy | 85 | N 7 | 89 | 15 | 33% | 30.02f | 85 | 62 | |
| Amarillo | no report | 87 | W 6 | 91 | 10 | 30% | 29.99f | 88 | 62 | |
| Childress | mstly clr | 88 | S 5 | 103 | 20 | 53% | 29.92f | 88 | 68 | |
| Lubbock Intl | ptly cldy | 88 | W 9 | 92 | 15 | 29% | 29.99f | 89 | 68 | |
| Reese AFB | ptly cldy | 93 | S 11 | 95 | 10 | 22% | 29.98f | 93 | 68 | |
| El Paso | no report | 88 | CALM | 89 | 10 | 24% | 30.06f | 89 | 63 | |
| Guadalupe Ps | dry | 78 | W 15G20 | 81 | | 39% | 30.06f | 78 | 64 | |
| Wink | clear | 89 | SE 6 | 95 | 20 | 33% | 29.96f | 90 | 63 | |
| Midland | clear | 89 | SE 6 | 94 | 20 | 32% | 29.98f | 89 | 65 | |
| San Angelo | clear | 92 | S 10 | 106 | 15 | 45% | 29.96f | 92 | 69 | |
| Marfa | no report | 83 | SW 8G16 | 86 | 10 | 33% | 30.09f | 83 | 56 | |
| Sanderson | no report | 87 | SW 6G11 | 97 | | 45% | | 87 | 66 | |
| Wichita Falls | ptly cldy | 91 | S 9 | 105 | 10 | 47% | 29.88s | 91 | 63 | |
| Sherman | ptly cldy | 90 | SE 6 | 105 | 15 | 50% | 29.91f | 90 | 68 | |
| Dyess AFB | clear | 93 | S 10 | 105 | 15 | 40% | 29.93f | 93 | 72 | |
| Abilene AP | mstly clr | 90 | S 8 | 104 | 25 | 48% | 29.92s | 90 | 70 | |
| Mineral Wells | clear | 93 | SW 9 | 109 | 15 | 49% | 29.90f | 93 | 67 | |
| Stephenville | | | | | | | | | | |
| Carswell AFB | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fort Worth AP | ptly cldy | 90 | S 14 | 109 | 8 | 59% | 29.90f | 90 | 68 | |
| Dallas FtWrth | ptly cldy | 89 | S 15 | 108 | 10 | 61% | 29.92f | 89 | 69 | |
| East Addition | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dallas Love | ptly cldy | 89 | S 11 | 106 | 10 | 57% | 29.92f | 89 | 72 | |
| Dallas Adsn | ptly cldy | 89 | SE 17 | 105 | 10 | 55% | 29.90f | 89 | 71 | |
| Dallas Hensly | ptly cldy | 89 | S 11 | 105 | 12 | 55% | 29.90f | 89 | 71 | |
| Greenville | ptly cldy | 88 | S 11 | | 15 | | 29.95f | | | |
| Mt Pleasant | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tyler | mstly cldy | 87 | S 6 | 102 | 7 | 55% | 29.96s | 87 | 70 | |
| Longview | mstly cldy | 85 | S 11 | 101 | 7 | 61% | 29.97f | 85 | 71 | |
| Waco | lgt rain | 81 | S 11 | 101 | 10 | 82% | 29.93f | 84 | 68 | 0.01 |
| Killeen Gray | thunder | 87 | SE 6 | 104 | 7 | 61% | 29.97s | 88 | 73 | |
| Ft. Hood | lgt t-shwr | 78 | N 16 | 97 | 5 | 88% | 29.99s | | | |
| Temple | no report | 83 | S 8 | 100 | 10 | 70% | 29.95f | 86 | 69 | |
| Lufkin | mstly cldy | 90 | W 7 | 108 | 10 | 57% | 29.96f | 90 | 69 | |
| Junction | dry | 88 | S 7G14 | 102 | | 52% | 31.99s | 88 | 72 | |
| Round Mtn | mstly cldy | 78 | W 5 | 92 | 7 | 71% | 30.05r | | | |
| Austin AP | mstly cldy | 87 | SW 11 | 106 | 10 | 65% | 29.96s | 87 | 74 | |
| Bergstrom AFB | mstly cldy | 93 | SW 6 | 112 | 10 | 54% | 29.93s | 93 | 75 | |
| College Stn | cloudy | 91 | S 5 | 112 | 7 | 62% | 29.96f | 92 | 74 | |
| Del Rio Intl | dry | 94 | SE 7G13 | 110 | 8 | 46% | 29.92f | 94 | 80 | |
| Laughlin AFB | ptly cldy | 96 | S 5 | 112 | 20 | 43% | 29.92f | 96 | 80 | |
| Hondo | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kelly AFB | mstly cldy | 92 | SE 8 | 109 | 7 | 52% | 29.93f | 92 | 76 | |
| Sn Antnio Int | no report | 90 | S 10 | 106 | 10 | 54% | 29.94f | 91 | 76 | |
| Randolph AFB | ptly cldy | 92 | S 11 | 108 | 7 | 50% | 29.94f | 92 | 75 | |
| Cotulla | mstly cldy | 93 | SE 8 | 107 | 15 | 44% | 29.89f | 93 | 76 | |
| Laredo | ptly cldy | 95 | SE 24 | 109 | 15 | 41% | 29.89f | 95 | 78 | |
| Mc Allen | ptly cldy | 95 | SE 11 | 111 | 10 | 46% | 29.90f | 95 | 78 | |
| Harlingen | mstly cldy | 94 | SE 11 | 110 | 10 | 47% | 29.90f | 94 | 77 | |
| Brownsville | ptly cldy | 91 | SE 17G21 | 109 | 10 | 56% | 29.91s | 93 | 78 | |
| Kingsville | ptly cldy | 96 | SE 9 | 117 | 7 | 53% | 29.87f | 96 | 67 | |
| Alice | mstly cldy | 95 | S 11 | 111 | 15 | 46% | 29.92f | 95 | 74 | |
| CrpusCsty Int | mstly cldy | 84 | E 7G31 | 107 | 7 | 82% | 29.93s | 91 | 76 | 0.55 |
| Crpus Csty NS | ptly cldy | 91 | S 14 | 113 | 11 | 64% | 29.92s | 91 | 80 | |
| Rockport | ptly cldy | 87 | SE 17 | 114 | 10 | 82% | 29.95r | | | |

APPENDIX 5

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Waste Location: W Houston Test Date: 4/25/85
 Test Portion: Baseline: Treated: Exhaust Stack Diameter: Inches

Engine Make/Model: maek Miles/Hours: 242445 ~~242449~~ 205
 Type of Equipment: I.D.#: 649 DK9 649

Fuel Specific Gravity: 0.850 @: (°F)

Barometric Pressure: 30.01 Inches of Mercury

Intake Air Temperature: (°F) Start Time: 3:00

| RPM | Exhaust Temp °F | P Inches of H ₂ O | % CO | HC ppm | % CO ₂ | % O ₂ | Smoke Number |
|------|-----------------|------------------------------|------|--------|-------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 1800 | 331.4 | 2.8 | 101 | 9 | 2.19 | 16.7 | |
| 1 | 334 | 2.8 | 01 | 9 | 2.19 | 16.7 | 7 |
| | 340 | 2.8 | 101 | 9 | 2.17 | 16.8 | |
| | 341.2 | 2.8 | 101 | 9 | 2.19 | 16.7 | |
| | 343.4 | 2.8 | 101 | 9 | 2.19 | 16.7 | |
| | 344.0 | 2.8 | 101 | 9 | 2.18 | 16.7 | |
| | 348 | 2.8 | 101 | 9 | 2.19 | 16.7 | |
| | 347.8 | 2.8 | 101 | 9 | 2.19 | 16.6 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Water
T 192

f

End Time 3:15

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Waste Location: W - Dallas Test Date: 7/24/95
 Test Portion: Baseline Treated Exhaust Stack Diameter: 420 Inches
 Engine Make/Model: Mack Miles/Hours: 120062 I.D.#: GF2872
 Type of Equipment: _____

Fuel Specific Gravity: 0.846 @ _____ (°F) Water Temp
 Barometric Pressure: 30.01 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: 3:25 180 °F

| RPM | Exhaust Temp °F | P-Inches of H ₂ O | % CO | HC ppm | % CO ₂ | % O ₂ | Smoke Number |
|------|-----------------|------------------------------|------|--------|-------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 1400 | 334.8 | 1.2 | .01 | 4 | 1.61 | 11.1 | 30 |
| | 336.6 | | .01 | 4 | 1.80 | 11.4 | |
| | 342 | 1.2 | .00 | 4 | 1.83 | 11.1 | |
| | 342.6 | | .01 | 5 | 1.84 | 11.2 | |
| | 343.8 | 1.2 | .01 | 5 | 1.88 | 11.3 | |
| | 344.6 | 1.2 | .01 | 5 | 1.88 | 11.0 | |
| | 345.6 | 1.2 | .01 | 5 | 1.77 | 11.0 | |
| | 345.4 | 1.2 | .01 | 4 | 1.87 | 11.0 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

V. No. 30
 W. No. 60

End Time 3:45

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Wesco Location: W-Deillas Test Date: 4/26/93
 Test Portion: Baseline: Treated: Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches
 Engine Make/Model: Mack Miles/Hours: 1187000 I.D.#: 163
 Type of Equipment: _____

Fuel Specific Gravity: _____ @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 29.85 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: 3:20

| RPM | Exhaust Temp °F | P Inches of H ₂ O | % CO | HC ppm | % CO ₂ | % O ₂ | Smoke Number |
|------|-----------------|------------------------------|------|--------|-------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 1900 | 314.0 | .8 | .03 | 8 | 17.7 | 18.3 | 5 |
| | 315.0 | | .03 | 9 | 17.5 | 18.3 | |
| | 315.6 | .8 | .03 | 9 | 17.4 | 18.4 | |
| | 316.4 | | .03 | 9 | 17.3 | 18.3 | |
| | 316.4 | .8 | .03 | 10 | 17.0 | 18.4 | |
| | 316.8 | | .03 | 10 | 16.9 | 18.4 | |
| | 317.6 | .8 | .02 | 9 | 16.7 | 18.4 | |
| | 318.4 | | .02 | 9 | 16.6 | 18.4 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

End Time 3:19

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Wass Location: Dallas W Test Date: 4/25/95
 Test Portion: Baseline: Treated: Exhaust Stack Diameter: 4/8 inches
 Engine Make/Model: Mack Miles/Hours: 15695 I.D.#: E 79 312
 Type of Equipment: _____

Fuel Specific Gravity: .844 @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 29.98 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: 76 (°F) Start Time: 4:00

H₂O Temp

| RPM | Exhaust Temp. °F | Inches of H ₂ O | % CO | HC ppm | % CO ₂ | % O ₂ | Smoke Number |
|------|------------------|----------------------------|------|--------|-------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 1900 | 355 | 1.4 | .01 | 4 | 2.21 | 11.6 | 5.5 |
| | 355.4 | 1.4 | .01 | 5 | 2.19 | 11.6 | |
| | 358.8 | 1.4 | .02 | 4 | 2.17 | 11.1 | |
| | 360.0 | 1.4 | .01 | 4 | 2.17 | 11.6 | |
| | 362.2 | 1.4 | .02 | 5 | 2.15 | 11.6 | |
| | 362.8 | 1.4 | .01 | 4 | 2.18 | 11.7 | |
| | 364.2 | 1.4 | .01 | 4 | 2.15 | 11.7 | |
| | 365.6 | 1.4 | .01 | 4 | 2.11 | 11.0 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

180 °F

X

End Time _____

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Waste Location: Dallas, Wash Test Date: 6/27/95
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches
 Engine Make/Model: Maer Miles/Hours: 96501 I.D.#: 264 GFS 709
 Type of Equipment: _____
 Fuel Specific Gravity: .825 @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 29.97 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: 5:00

| RPM | Exhaust Temp °F | P Inches of H ₂ O | % CO | HC ppm | % CO ₂ | % O ₂ | Smoke Number |
|------|-----------------|------------------------------|------|--------|-------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 1700 | 364 | 1.8 | 105 | 0 | 2.29 | 17.1 | 45 |
| | 367 | 1.8 | 102 | 10 | 2.29 | 17.0 | |
| | 370.8 | 1.5 | 102 | 10 | 2.08 | 17.1 | |
| | 370.0 | 1.8 | 102 | 10 | 2.56 | 17.1 | |
| | 371.2 | 1.8 | 102 | 10 | 2.17 | 17.1 | |
| | 371.0 | 1.8 | 102 | 11 | 2.13 | 17.3 | |
| | 371.4 | 1.8 | 102 | 10 | 2.18 | 17.1 | |
| | 371.6 | 1.8 | 102 | 12 | 2.24 | 17.1 | |
| | 371.0 | 1.8 | 102 | 13 | 2.26 | 17.1 | |

Water
220
water

End Time 2:34

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Waste Location: Dallas West Test Date: 2/27/95
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: _____ Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches
 Engine Make/Model: Mack Miles/Hours: 245637 I.D.#: DX9 649
 Type of Equipment: _____
 Fuel Specific Gravity: .83 @ _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 29.24 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: 1:30

| RPM | Exhaust Temp. F | Inches of H ₂ O | % CO | HC ppm | % CO ₂ | % O ₂ | Smoke Number |
|------|-----------------|----------------------------|------|--------|-------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 1800 | 397.2 | 2.5 | 101 | 10 | 2.35 | 17.0 | 6 |
| | 398.6 | | 101 | 10 | 2.35 | 16.9 | |
| | 397.8 | | 101 | 10 | 2.31 | 17.1 | |
| | 397.4 | 2.6 | 101 | 9 | 2.29 | 17.1 | |
| | 396.6 | | 101 | 9 | 2.28 | 17.1 | |
| | 396.8 | 2.6 | 101 | 9 | 2.25 | 17.1 | |
| | 399.00 | | 101 | 9 | 2.25 | 17.1 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Water
193

End Time 1:51

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Waseca Mm Location: Dallas W. Test Date: 6/27/95 20.5
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches
 Engine Make/Model: maack Miles/Hours: 132900 I.D.#: CFD 872
 Type of Equipment: _____

Fuel Specific Gravity: .83 @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 29.77 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: 3:40

| RPM | Exhaust Temp. F | P. Inches of H ₂ O | % CO | HC ppm | % CO ₂ | % O ₂ | Smoke Number |
|------|-----------------|-------------------------------|------|--------|-------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 1700 | 332 | 1.0 | .01 | 5 | 2.05 | 17.5 | 1.5 |
| | 331.6 | | .01 | 5 | 2.06 | 17.5 | |
| | 329.6 | | .01 | 5 | 2.04 | 17.5 | |
| | 329.6 | | .01 | 4 | 2.04 | 17.5 | |
| | 327.8 | | .9 | .01 | 5 | 2.01 | 17.4 |
| | 328 | .9 | .01 | 4 | 2.00 | 17.5 | |
| | 327.8 | .9 | .01 | 5 | 2.02 | 17.6 | |
| | 328 | | .01 | 4 | 2.02 | 17.5 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

*Waste
180*

End Time 4:10

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Wespe Location: Dallas West Test Date: 6/2/95
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: _____ Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches

Engine Make/Model: Mack Miles/Hours: 504189 I.D.#: 463 ³⁹⁸⁰⁰²
 Type of Equipment: _____

Fuel Specific Gravity: .835 @ _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 29.71 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: 12:15

| RPM | Exhaust Temp °F | Inches of H ₂ O | %CO | HC ppm | %CO ₂ | %O ₂ | Smoke Number |
|------|-----------------|----------------------------|-----|--------|------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| 1900 | 330.6 | .7 | .02 | 10 | 1.8 | 17.8 | 3 |
| | 330 | | .02 | 10 | 1.8 | 17.8 | |
| | 330.4 | | .02 | 10 | 1.79 | 17.8 | |
| | 330.6 | | .02 | 10 | 1.77 | 17.8 | |
| | 330.4 | | .02 | 10 | 1.77 | 17.9 | |
| | 330.6 | .6 | .02 | 10 | 1.77 | 17.8 | |
| | 330.8 | .6 | .02 | 10 | 1.78 | 17.9 | |
| | 330.8 | .6 | .02 | 10 | 1.79 | 17.8 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

11/Temp
100

End Time 12:30

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Waste Location: Dallas W Test Date: 6/28/95
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: _____ Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches

Engine Make/Model: _____ Miles/Hours: 138518 I.D.#: 418 E29-342
 Type of Equipment: _____

Fuel Specific Gravity: 0.832 @ _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 29.90 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: 11:21

| RPM | Exhaust Temp °F | Pinches of H ₂ O | % CO | HC ppm | % CO ₂ | % O ₂ | Smoke Number |
|------|-----------------|-----------------------------|------|--------|-------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 1900 | 356 | 1.8 | 101 | 2 | 5.03 | 17.3 | 5 |
| | 358.7 | | .01 | 2 | 2.04 | 17.3 | |
| | 363.4 | 1.4 | 101 | 3 | 2.03 | 17.5 | |
| | 364.8 | | 101 | 3 | 2.02 | 17.5 | |
| | 367.6 | 1.4 | 101 | 4 | 2.04 | 17.4 | |
| | 368.8 | 1.4 | 101 | 4 | 2.04 | 17.4 | |
| | 371 | 1.8 | .01 | 3 | 2.05 | 17.4 | |
| | | 1.4 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Wat. Temp
185

End Time 11:34

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:

Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Waste Location: Dallas W Test Date: 6/28/95
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches
 Engine Make/Model: Maack Miles/Hours: 51700 I.D.#: 248
 Type of Equipment: _____
 Fuel Specific Gravity: 1.820 @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 29.84 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: Six

| RPM | Exhaust Temp °F | P-Inches for H ₂ O | % CO | HC ppm | % CO | % O ₂ | Smoke Number |
|------|-----------------|-------------------------------|------|--------|------|------------------|--------------|
| 1900 | 381.8 | 2.4 | 101 | 12 | 1.94 | 17.3 | 4.5 |
| | 382.8 | 2.4 | 101 | 12 | 1.90 | 17.4 | |
| | 381.8 | 2.4 | 101 | 10 | 1.89 | 17.7 | |
| | 381.2 | 2.4 | 101 | 10 | 1.88 | 17.1 | |
| | 381.4 | 2.4 | 101 | 10 | 1.86 | 17.7 | |
| | 381.0 | 2.4 | 101 | 12 | 1.85 | 17.7 | |
| | 381.2 | 2.6 | 101 | 12 | 1.85 | 17.7 | |
| | 378.8 | 2.6 | 101 | 10 | 1.83 | 17.7 | |
| | | | 101 | 10 | 1.85 | 17.8 | |

End Time 5:15

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:



Carbon Mass Balance Field Data Form

Company: Waste Location: Dallas west Test Date: 6/28/95
 Test Portion: Baseline: _____ Treated: _____ Exhaust Stack Diameter: _____ Inches
 Engine Make/Model: maek Miles/Hours: 238874 I.D.#: 215 DX9646
 Type of Equipment: _____
 Fuel Specific Gravity: 0.838 @: _____ (°F)
 Barometric Pressure: 29.87 Inches of Mercury
 Intake Air Temperature: _____ (°F) Start Time: 3:5

| RPM | Exhaust Temp °F | P Inches of H ₂ O | % CO | HC ppm | % CO ₂ | % O ₂ | Smoke Number |
|------|-----------------|------------------------------|------|--------|-------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 1900 | 400.6 | 2.3 | 01 | 12 | 2.35 | 16.7 | |
| } | 400.3 | 2.3 | 01 | 12 | 2.35 | 16.7 | 5 |
| | 412.4 | 2.2 | .01 | 10 | 2.36 | 16.9 | |
| | 414. | 2.2 | .01 | 10 | 2.35 | 16.9 | |
| | 415.8 | 2.2 | 101 | 10 | 2.35 | 17.0 | |
| | 416.6 | 2.2 | .01 | 10 | 2.33 | 17.0 | |
| | 418 | 2.3 | .0 | 12 | 2.30 | 17.0 | |
| | 418.2 | 2.2 | .0 | 12 | 2.33 | 17.1 | |
| | | | | | | | |

Waste
~~180~~
 180

End Time 3:55

Names of Customer Personnel Participating in Test:

Signature of Technicians:
